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## Legal Notification

This report was prepared by JD Northcote Engineering Inc. for the account of Bellisle (Penetang) Developments Ltd.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. JD Northcote Engineering Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this project.

## Executive Summary

This report summarizes the traffic impact study prepared for Phases $3 \& 4$ of the Harbour Point Subdivision (formerly known as the Bellisle Heights Subdivision), located southeast of the École secondaire Le Caron in the Town of Penetanguishene [Town], County of Simcoe [County]. The report assesses the impact of traffic related to the development on the adjacent roadway and provides recommendations to accommodate this traffic in a safe and efficient manner.

The proposed residential development includes 320 residential units with the following phasing breakdown:

- Phase 1-110 single-detached / townhouse units \& 30 apartment units
- Phase 2-73 single-detached / townhouse units
- Phase 3-72 single-detached / townhouse units
- Phase $4-35$ single-detached / townhouse units

The 110 single-detached units in Phase 1 of the development have been constructed and are currently fully occupied.

Parallel to the north boundary of the subdivision, at some distance, is Poyntz Street with two access streets connecting the subdivision to Poyntz Street. There will be four access streets from the proposed extension of Thompsons Road West, at the southern boundary of the subdivision, resulting in a total of six access streets to the Harbour Point subdivision.

The scope of this analysis includes a review of the following intersections:

- Main Street / Robert Street;
- Main Street / Poyntz Street;
- Owen Street / Poyntz Street;
- Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street; and
- Main Street / Thompsons Road.

Previous submissions for the Harbour Point Subdivision included a connection to John Street. The proposed Harbour Point Subdivision no longer includes the John Street connection, which is reflected in the analysis completed in this study.

## Conclusions

1. The proposed Harbour Point Subdivision is expected to generate a total of 144 AM and 193 PM peak hour trips.
2. Detailed turning movement counts were completed for all existing intersections on Thursday, December 14, 2017.
3. An intersection operation analysis was completed at the study area intersections, using the existing (2018) and background (2028) traffic volumes, with the adjacent development traffic and without the Harbour Point Subdivision traffic. This enabled a review of existing and future traffic deficiencies that would be present without the influence of the Harbour Point Subdivision. No geometric lane improvements or traffic signal improvements are recommended within the study area in order to accommodate the anticipated traffic for the existing (2018) or background (2028) scenario.
4. An estimate of the amount of traffic that would be generated by the Harbour Point Subdivision was prepared and assigned to the study area streets and intersections.
5. An intersection operation analysis was completed under total (2028) traffic volumes with the Harbour Point Subdivision operational at the study area intersections, with no connection at John Street and no extension of Thompson Road West to Main Street. This scenario included the planned road improvements on Main Street, as proposed by the Town. No additional geometric lane improvements or traffic signal improvements are recommended within the study area in order to accommodate the anticipated traffic for this scenario.
6. An intersection operation analysis was completed using the total (2028) traffic volumes with the proposed extension to Thompsons Road West to assess the traffic operation for this scenario. This scenario included the planned road improvements on Main Street, as proposed by the Town. Based on our analysis, no additional improvements are required within the study area for this scenario, with the proposed extension of Thompsons Road West.
7. In summary, the Harbour Point Subdivision will not cause any operational issues and will not add a notable delay or congestion to the local roadway network.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Background

Bellisle (Penetang) Developments Ltd [The Developer] is proposing to develop Phases $3 \& 4$ of the Harbour Point Subdivision (formerly known as the Bellisle Heights Subdivision), located southeast of the École secondaire Le Caron in the Town of Penetanguishene [Town], County of Simcoe [County].

The proposed residential development includes 320 residential units with the following phasing breakdown:

- Phase 1-110 single-detached units \& 30 apartment units
- Phase 2-73 single-detached units
- Phase 3-72 single-detached units
- Phase 4-35 single-detached units

The 110 single-detached units in Phase 1 of the Harbour Point Subdivision have been constructed and are currently fully occupied.

Parallel to the north boundary of the subdivision, at some distance, is Poyntz Street with two access streets connecting the subdivision to Poyntz Street. There will be four access streets from the proposed extension of Thompsons Road West, at the southern boundary of the subdivision, resulting in a total of six access streets to the Harbour Point subdivision.

The Developer has retained JD Northcote Engineering Inc. [JD Engineering] to prepare this traffic impact study in support of the Harbour Point Subdivision.

### 1.2 Study Area

Figure 1 shows the location of the Harbour Point Subdivision and study area intersections, in relation to the surrounding area. The Draft Plan of Subdivision by De Freitas Engineering Inc. is provided in Appendix A.

The Harbour Point Subdivision is bound by undeveloped lands to the west and south, existing residential lands to the east and existing residential lands and the École secondaire Le Caron to the north.

Through consultation with the Town, the following intersections are included in the traffic impact study:

- Main Street / Robert Street;
- Main Street / Poyntz Street;
- Owen Street / Poyntz Street;
- Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street; and
- Main Street / Thompsons Road.

Previous submissions for the Harbour Point Subdivision included a connection to John Street. The proposed Harbour Point Subdivision no longer includes the John Street connection, which is reflected in the analysis completed in this study. The impact of removing the connection to John Street is discussed further in Section 5.2.

Figure 1 - Proposed Site Location and Study Area


### 1.3 Study Scope and Objectives

The purpose of this study is to identify the potential impacts to traffic flow at the site access and on the surrounding roadway network. The study analysis includes the following tasks:

- Consult with the Town to address any traffic-related issues or concerns they have with the Harbour Point Subdivision;
- Determine existing traffic volumes and circulation patterns;
- Estimate future traffic volumes if the Harbour Point Subdivision was not constructed, including the impact of additional proposed developments in the area;
- Complete level-of-service [LOS] analysis of horizon year (without the Harbour Point Subdivision) traffic conditions and identify operational deficiencies;
- Estimate the amount of traffic that would be generated by the Harbour Point Subdivision and assign to the roadway network;
- Complete LOS analysis of horizon year (with the Harbour Point Subdivision) traffic conditions and identify additional operational deficiencies;
- Identify improvement options to address operational deficiencies;
- Review the impact of the construction of the proposed extension of Thompsons Road West; and
- Document findings and recommendations in a final report.


### 1.4 Horizon Year and Analysis Periods

Traffic scenarios for the existing year (2018) and 10-year (2028) horizon year were selected for analysis of traffic operations in the study area. The weekday morning [AM] and weekday afternoon [PM] peak hours have been selected as the analysis periods for this study.

## 2 Information Gathering

### 2.1 Street and Intersection Characteristics

Main Street is a major arterial road with an urban cross-section, a sidewalk on the east side of the road north of Edward Street and a sidewalk on the west side of the road north of O. L. Dubeau Drive, within the study area. Main Street has a two-lane cross section north of Robert Street, three-lane cross section between Robert Street and Poyntz Street and a four-lane cross section south of Poyntz Street within the study area. Main street has a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and is under the jurisdiction of the Town within the study area.

Robert Street is a two-lane major arterial road with an urban cross section and a sidewalk on both sides of the road, within the study area. Robert Street has an assumed (unposted) speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ within the study area. Robert Street is under the jurisdiction of the Town, within the study area.

Owen Street is a two-lane local road with an urban cross section and a sidewalk on the west side of the road. Owen Street has an assumed (unposted) speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Owen Street is under the jurisdiction of the Town.

Poyntz Street is a two-lane local road with an urban cross section and a sidewalk on both sides of the road. Poyntz Street has a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Poyntz Street is under the jurisdiction of the Town.

Bellisle Road is a two-lane local road with an urban cross section, a sidewalk on the west side of the road, south of Gignac Drive and a sidewalk on the east side of the road north of Gignac Drive. Bellisle Road has an assumed posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Bellisle Road is under the jurisdiction of the Town.

Thompsons Road is a two-lane road with an urban cross section and a sidewalk on the north side of the road. Thompsons Road has a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Thompsons Road East is a collector road and Thompsons Road West (within the subject site) is an arterial road. Thompsons Road is under the jurisdiction of the Town.

The existing intersection spacing and lane configuration within the study area is illustrated in Figure 2.

Figure 2 - Existing Intersection Spacing and Lane Configuration with in Study Area


### 2.2 Local Transportation Infrastructure Improvements

Based on discussions with the Town, the following road improvements are anticipated to begin construction in 2018:

- Main Street (within study area)
- Reconstruction of Road

Based on discussions with the Town, the following road improvements are anticipated to begin construction in 2020:

- Thompsons Road (within study area)
- Extension of Thompsons Road to Main Street

The future intersection spacing and lane configuration within the study area is illustrated in Figure 3. It is anticipated that all infrastructure improvements are to be completed prior to the background (2028) horizon year. The impact of the Thompsons Road infrastructure improvement noted above is discussed further in Section 6.

Figure 3 - Future Intersection Spacing and Lane Configuration with in Study Area


### 2.3 Transit Access

The Penetanguishine bus route connects the Town of Penetanguishene with the Town of Midland. This bus route provides bus service to various points of interest within the Town, travelling along sections of Poyntz Street, Main Street, Robert Street and Bellisle Road within the study area.

The Penetanguishene bus route operates between 06:30-17:30 on weekdays and 08:30-16:30 on Saturdays with service every 60 minutes. There is no bus service on Sundays or Holidays. The closest bus stop to the Harbour Point Subdivision for the Penetanguishine bus route is located in the Village Square Mall. It is noted that this bus route provides a "flag on" service where passengers are not required to be at a bus stop and can "flag down" the along its route to get on the bus.

### 2.4 Other Developments within the Study Area

Based on discussions with Town staff, there are two planned developments in the study area that will have a notable impact on the local traffic volumes, specifically:

- Shoppers Drug Mart development; and
- Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building.


### 2.4.1 Traffic Generation for the Shoppers Drug Mart Development

The Shoppers Drug Mart development is located in the northwest corner of the Main Street / Poyntz Street intersection.

Based on correspondence with Town staff, the estimated trip generation for the Shoppers Drug Mart development, as calculated in the Traffic Impact Study for said development, is illustrated below in Table 1. It is anticipated that this development will be fully built-out prior to the 2028 horizon year.

The number of pass-by trips generated by the above noted adjacent development has been based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers [ITE] Trip Generation Manual (10 $0^{\text {th }}$ Edition) [ITE Trip Generation Manual]. The following ITE land use has been applied to estimate the traffic from the Shoppers Drugmart Development:

- ITE land use 880 (Pharmacy/Drugstore without Drive-Through Window)

Table 1 - Estimated Traffic Generation of Shoppers Drug Mart Development

| Development | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IN | OUT | TOTAL | IN | OUT | TOTAL |
| Shopper Drug Mart | 21 | 11 | 32 | 44 | 44 | 88 |
| PASS-BY TRIPS (ITE Land Use: 880)* | 0 | 0 | 0 | -23 | -23 | -46 |
| TOTAL PRIMARY TRIPS | 21 | 11 | 32 | 21 | 21 | 42 |

* Shoppers Drug Mart pass-by trips for the AM and PM peak hour are $0 \%$ and $53 \%$ respectively, according to the ITE data for ITE land use 880.

No transportation modal split has been applied to the above-noted traffic generation calculation.

### 2.4.2 Traffic Generation for the Georgian Bay Cancer Centre Office Building

The Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building is located at 31 Lucy Street and is anticipated to include 5,000 sq.ft. for office, service and activity type uses. It is anticipated that this development will be fully built-out prior to the 2028 horizon year.

The traffic generation for the Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building has been based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual. The following ITE land use has been applied to estimate the traffic from the Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building:

- ITE land use 715 (Single Tenant Office Building)

The estimated trip generation of the Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building is illustrated below in Table 2.

Table 2 - Estimated Traffic Generation of the Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building

| Land Use | Size | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IN | OUT | TOTAL | IN | OUT | TOTAL |
| Single Tenant Office Building <br> ITE Land Use: 715 | 5,000 sq.ft. | 8 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 9 |

No transportation modal split has been applied to the above-noted traffic generation calculation.

### 2.4.3 Traffic Assignment for the Adjacent Developments

For the purposes of this study it has been assumed that all primary trip traffic generated by the Shoppers Drug Mart development and Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building will be new traffic and would not be in the study area if the development was not constructed.

The distribution of traffic for the adjacent developments is assumed to follow the distribution of the existing traffic volumes within the study area, obtained through the detailed turning movement counts.

The estimated distribution of trips generated by the adjacent developments is illustrated in Table 3.
Table 3 - Adjacent Developments' Traffic Distribution

| Scenario | Direction | Ingress / Egress Traffic Direction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | In | 16\% | 9\% | 13\% | 8\% | 3\% | 2\% | 6\% | 29\% | 13\% |
|  | Out | 11\% | 8\% | 17\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 42\% | 8\% |
| PM | In | 11\% | 8\% | 12\% | 9\% | 2\% | 1\% | 5\% | 41\% | 12\% |
|  | Out | 11\% | 9\% | 11\% | 5\% | 9\% | 2\% | 5\% | 36\% | 11\% |

*Based on the location of the Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building, Traffic Distribution to and from the east will not be within the study area

Figures A and B in Appendix B illustrate the Shoppers Drug Mart primary and pass-by traffic assignment within the study area.

Figure C in Appendix B illustrates the Georgian Bay Cancer Support Centre Office Building traffic assignment within the study area.

### 2.5 Background Growth Rate

The County's Historical Average Annual Daily Traffic [AADT] for County Road 93 (the extension of Main Street, south of the study area) was reviewed; however historic data near the Town was not available beyond 2006 .

The population forecasts from the County's Transportation Master Plan [TMP], the Town's Development Charge Background Study [DCBS] and the Province's Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe Area [GP] were reviewed to determine the annual background growth rate expected within the study area. The Town's population statistics for 2006 was compared to the projected population in 2031 from the TMP and the Town's population statistics for 2016 were compared to the projected population in 2031 from the DCBS and GP as illustrated in Table 4.

Table 4 - Population Statistics

| Year | Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TMP | GP | DCBS |
| 2006 | 9700 | - | - |
| 2016 | - | 9387 | 9387 |
| 2031 | 12300 | 11000 | 10470 |
| \% Growth per Year | $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 \%}$ |

The largest background traffic growth rate calculated is $1.19 \%$; however, in order to be conservative and to stay consistent with other recent traffic studies, a background growth rate of $2 \%$ has been applied to the existing traffic counts to estimate the background traffic volume within the study area.

### 2.6 Traffic Counts

Detailed turning movement traffic and pedestrian counts were commissioned by JD Engineering for all existing study area intersections.

Table 5 summarizes the traffic count data collection information.
Table 5 - Traffic Count Data

| Intersection <br> (N-s Street/E-W Street) | Count Date | AM Peak <br> Hour | PM Peak <br> Hour | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Main Street / Robert Street | Thursday, December 14, 2017 | $08: 00-09: 00$ | 16:00-17:00 | JD Eng.* |
| Main Street / Poyntz Street | Thursday, December 14, 2017 | $08: 00-09: 00$ | $16: 15-17: 15$ | JD Eng.* |
| Owen Street / Poyntz Street | Thursday, December 14, 2017 | $08: 00-09: 00$ | $16: 45-17: 45$ | JD Eng.* |
| Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street | Thursday, December 14, 2017 | $08: 00-09: 00$ | $17: 00-18: 00$ | JD Eng.* |
| Main Street / Thompsons Road | Thursday, December 14, 2017 | $08: 00-09: 00$ | $16: 00-17: 00$ | JD Eng.* |

*Traffic counts were completed by Accu-Traffic Inc. on behalf of JD Engineering.
Detailed traffic count data can be found in Appendix C. The peak hours of traffic generation for the study area intersections generally aligned with the anticipated peak hour of traffic generation by the Harbour Point Subdivision. Although the AM and PM peak periods at all study area intersections did
not exactly align, for the purpose of this report, we have assumed that the AM and PM peak hours are concurrent.

The background traffic growth rates discussed in Section 2.5 has been applied to the traffic volumes noted above to estimate the existing (2018) horizon year traffic volumes.

Heavy vehicle percentages from the traffic count data have also been included in the Synchro analysis.

Figure D in Appendix B illustrates the existing (2018) AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes within the study area.

### 2.7 Horizon Year Traffic Volumes

In addition to the adjacent development traffic volumes (outlined in Section 2.4), the background traffic growth rates discussed in Section 2.5 have also been applied to the existing traffic volumes to estimate the background (2028) horizon year traffic volumes.

Figure E in Appendix B illustrates the background (2028) horizon year AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes in the study area.

## 3 Intersection Operation without Proposed Development

### 3.1 Introduction

Existing year operational conditions were established to determine how the street network within the study area is currently functioning without the Harbour Point Subdivision. This provides a base case scenario to compare with future development scenarios. Traffic operations within the study area were evaluated using the 2018 traffic volumes with the existing road configuration and traffic control. The intersection performance was measured using the traffic analysis software, Synchro 9, a deterministic model that employs Highway Capacity Manual and Intersection Capacity Utilization methodologies for analyzing intersection operations. These procedures are accepted by provincial and municipal agencies throughout North America.

Synchro 9 enables the study area to be graphically defined in terms of streets and intersections, along with their geometric and traffic control characteristics. The user is able to evaluate both signalized and unsignalized intersections in relation to each other, thus not only providing level of service for the individual intersections, but also enabling an assessment of the impact the various intersections in a network have on each other in terms of spacing, traffic congestion, delay, and queuing.

Individual turning movements with a volume-to-capacity [V/C] ratio of 0.85 or greater are considered to be critical movements and have been highlighted in the LOS tables.

The intersection operations were also evaluated in terms of the LOS. LOS is a common measure of the quality of performance at an intersection and is defined in terms of vehicular delay. This delay includes deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and acceleration delay. LOS is expressed on a scale of A through F, where LOS A represents very little delay (i.e. less than 10 seconds per vehicle) and LOS F represents very high delay (i.e. greater than 50 seconds per vehicle for a stop sign controlled intersection and greater than 80 seconds per vehicle for a signalized intersection).

The LOS criteria for signalized and stop sign controlled intersections are shown in Table 6. A description of traffic performance characteristics is included for each LOS.

Table 6 - Level of Service Criteria for Intersections

| LOS | LOS Description | Control Delay (seconds per vehicle) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Signalized <br> Intersections | Stop Controlled <br> Intersections |
| A | Very low delay; most vehicles do not stop (Excellent) | less than 10.0 | less than 10.0 |
| B | Higher delay; more vehicles stop (Very Good) | between 10.0 and 20.0 | between 10.0 and 15.0 |
| C | Higher level of congestion; number of vehicles <br> stopping is significant, although many still pass <br> through intersection without stopping (Good) | between 20.0 and 35.0 | between 15.0 and 25.0 |
| D | Congestion becomes noticeable; vehicles must <br> sometimes wait through more than one red light; many <br> vehicles stop (Satisfactory) | between 35.0 and 55.0 | between 25.0 and 35.0 |
| E | Vehicles must often wait through more than one red <br> light; considered by many agencies to be the limit of <br> acceptable delay | between 55.0 and 80.0 | between 35.0 and 50.0 |
| F | This level is considered to be unacceptable to most <br> drivers; occurs when arrival flow rates exceed the <br> capacity of the intersection (Unacceptable) | greater than 80.0 | greater than 50.0 |

### 3.2 Existing Intersection Operation

The results of the LOS analysis under existing traffic volumes during the AM and PM peak hour can be found below in Table 7. Existing intersection geometry and traffic control have been utilized for this scenario. Detailed output of the Synchro analysis can be found in Appendix D.

Table 7 - Existing LOS

| Location <br> (N-S Street / E-W Street) | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V/C | Delay (s) | LOS | $95^{\text {th }}$ \% Queue (m) |  | V/C | Delay (s) | LOS | $95^{\text {th }}$ \% Queue (m) |  |
|  |  |  |  | Model | Actual |  |  |  | Model | Actual |
| Main Street / Robert Street (signalized) | 0.27 | 12.8 | B | - | - | 0.31 | 12.1 | B | - | - |
| EBL | 0.14 | 17.2 | B | 8.2 | 30.0 | 0.14 | 19.5 | B | 7.9 | 30.0 |
| EBT | 0.43 | 18.8 | B | - | - | 0.22 | 19.9 | B | - | - |
| EBR | 0.08 | 16.8 | B | 7.6 | 25.0 | 0.07 | 19.1 | B | 9.7 | 25.0 |
| WBL | 0.47 | 19.6 | B | - | - | 0.55 | 23.5 | C | - | - |
| WBTR | 0.30 | 18.0 | B | - | - | 0.35 | 20.6 | C | - | - |
| NBL | 0.10 | 5.8 | A | - | - | 0.14 | 4.9 | A | - | - |
| NBTR | 0.19 | 6.2 | A | - | - | 0.25 | 5.4 | A | - | - |
| SBL | 0.01 | 5.2 | A | 1.2 | 10.0 | 0.01 | 4.2 | A | 1.3 | 10.0 |
| SBTR | 0.16 | 6.1 | A | - | - | 0.14 | 4.8 | A | - | - |
| Main Street / Poyntz Street (signalized) | 0.31 | 57.4 | A | - | - | 0.33 | 9.4 | A | - | - |
| EBL | 0.29 | 21.0 | C | 16.9 | 28.0 | 0.36 | 21.8 | C | 23.4 | 28.0 |
| EBR | 0.13 | 20.1 | C | - | - | 0.11 | 20.3 | C | - | - |
| NBTL | 0.31 | 5.9 | A | - | - | 0.33 | 6.0 | A | - | - |
| SBTR | 0.17 | 4.9 | A | - | - | 0.15 | 4.9 | A | - | - |
| Main Street / Thompsons Road (signalized) | 0.40 | 9.4 | A | - | - | 0.48 | 9.3 | A | - | - |
| WBL | 0.57 | 25.5 | C | 38.9 | 15.0 | 0.58 | 25.7 | C | 44.8 | 15.0 |
| WBR | 0.03 | 20.4 | C | - | - | 0.02 | 20.3 | C | - | - |
| NBTR | 0.25 | 5.8 | A | - | - | 0.38 | 6.7 | A | - | - |
| SBTL | 0.30 | 6.2 | A | - | - | 0.30 | 6.3 | A | - | - |
| Owen Street / Poyntz Street (unsignalized) | - | 9.4 | A | - | - | - | 9.4 | A | - | - |
| EB | 0.29 | 9.6 | A | - | - | 0.18 | 8.6 | A | - | - |
| WB | 0.28 | 9.3 | A |  |  | 0.39 | 10.0 | A |  |  |
| Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street (unsignalized) | - | 8.2 | A | - | - | - | 8.7 | A | - | - |
| EBTR | 0.24 | 8.4 | A | - | - | 0.23 | 8.2 | A | - | - |
| WBTR | 0.17 | 8.3 | A | - | - | 0.31 | 9.2 | A | - | - |

The results of the LOS analysis indicate that all intersections are operating within the typical design limits noted in Section 3.1.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the westbound left turn lane movement at the intersection of Main Street / Thompsons Road (in the AM and PM peak hour) is longer than the existing left turn storage length. Based on our review of the operating capacity of the westbound movements, the additional queuing is not anticipated to result in any operational or traffic safety issues. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for this movement is expected to temporarily block the Murray Road / Thompsons Road intersection. The additional queuing in this case would result in potential additional delays for the northbound traffic on Murray Road, entering Thompsons Road. Based on our review of the V/C ratio for westbound movements on Thompsons Road at Main Street, the westbound queue will clear at every phase of the traffic signal, which will provide the opportunity for northbound movements at the Murray Road / Thompson Road intersection. Furthermore, based on the amount of existing development along Murray Road, the volume of traffic at this intersection will be relatively low. Consequently, the temporary queue on Thompson Street, which will block the Murray Road / Thompson Road intersection, will not result in a traffic capacity issue. Furthermore, there are nearby alternative routes
which provide access to Thompsons Road (Maria Street and James Street). Based on the abovenoted review, the queuing on Thompsons Road at Main Street will not cause any traffic safety issues and the minor operational traffic constraints for northbound traffic on Murray Road are considered to be acceptable in this case.

The criterion outlined in Section E. 7 of the Ontario Ministry of Transportation Geometric Design Standards for Ontario Highways [MTO GDSOH] (60vph minimum right turn volume warrant) has been used to assess whether an auxiliary right turn lane is required at all unsignalized intersections. Based on the above-noted criteria, the minimum traffic volume requirement has been met for a right turn lane at the Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street intersection in the eastbound direction during the PM peak hour and for a right turn lane at the Owen Street / Poyntz Street intersection in the westbound direction in the PM peak hour; however, since both these intersections are all-way stop control and the Synchro analysis shows that the intersections are operating with a very good LOS, a right turn lane is not recommended for these movements.

Based on the Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 Signal Justification, traffic signals are not warranted at any unsignalized intersections (results are provided in Appendix E).

No infrastructure improvements are recommended within the study area to accommodate the existing traffic volume.

### 3.3 Background (2028) Intersection Operation

The results of the LOS analysis under background (2028) traffic volumes during the AM and PM peak hour can be found below in Table 8. The lane improvement identified in Section 2.2 with existing traffic control has been utilized for this scenario. Detailed output of the Synchro analysis can be found in Appendix F.

Table 8 - Background (2028) LOS

| Location <br> (N-S Street / E-W Street) | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V/C | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (s) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | $\begin{gathered} 95^{\text {th }} \text { \% Queue } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ |  | V/C | Delay (s) | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \hline 95^{\text {th }} \text { \% Queue } \\ \text { (m) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Model | Actual |  |  |  | Model | Actual |
| Main Street / Robert Street (signalized) | 0.34 | 13.3 | B | - | - | 0.40 | 12.1 | B | - | - |
| EBL | 0.16 | 17.0 | B | 9.4 | 30.0 | 0.13 | 17.2 | B | 8.9 | 30.0 |
| EBT | 0.47 | 18.9 | B | - | - | 0.20 | 17.5 | B | - | - |
| EBR | 0.10 | 16.6 | B | 7.8 | 25.0 | 0.09 | 16.9 | B | 10.7 | 25.0 |
| WBL | 0.56 | 21.0 | C | - | - | 0.53 | 20.6 | C | - | - |
| WBTR | 0.34 | 18.0 | B | - | - | 0.32 | 18.2 | B | - | - |
| NBL | 0.13 | 6.6 | A | - | - | 0.21 | 6.8 | A | - | - |
| NBTR | 0.25 | 7.2 | A | - | - | 0.34 | 7.7 | A | - | - |
| SBL | 0.01 | 5.7 | A | 1.5 | 10.0 | 0.01 | 5.5 | A | 1.7 | 10.0 |
| SBTR | 0.21 | 6.9 | A | - | - | 0.18 | 6.4 | A | - | - |
| Main Street / Poyntz Street (signalized) | 0.46 | 10.1 | B | - | - | 0.47 | 10.4 | B | - | - |
| EBL | 0.36 | 20.7 | C | 20.6 | 28.0 | 0.47 | 21.3 | C | 31.7 | 28.0 |
| EBR | 0.16 | 19.6 | B | - | - | 0.14 | 19.2 | B | - | - |
| NBL | 0.49 | 9.6 | A | - | - | 0.48 | 9.5 | A | - | - |
| NBT | 0.26 | 5.9 | A | - | - | 0.33 | 6.6 | A | - | - |
| SBTR | 0.21 | 5.4 | A | - | - | 0.19 | 5.6 | A | - | - |
| Main Street / Thompsons Road (signalized) | 0.48 | 10.1 | B | - | - | 0.49 | 10.0 | A | - | - |
| WBL | 0.64 | 27.0 | C | 46.7 | 15.0 | 0.66 | 27.6 | C | 54.8 | 15.0 |
| WBR | 0.04 | 20.1 | C | - | - | 0.02 | 19.9 | B | - | - |
| NBT | 0.25 | 6.2 | A | - | - | 0.36 | 7.0 | A | - | - |
| NBR | 0.14 | 5.8 | A | 11.7 | 1.0 | 0.24 | 6.6 | A | 22.5 | 1.0 |
| SBTL | 0.37 | 7.1 | A | - | - | 0.38 | 7.2 | A | - | - |
| Owen Street / Poyntz Street (unsignalized) | - | 10.6 | B | - | - | - | 10.7 | B | - | - |
| EB | 0.38 | 11.1 | B | - | - | 0.23 | 9.2 | A | - | - |
| WB | 0.36 | 10.6 | B | - | - | 0.51 | 11.8 | B | - | - |
| Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street (unsignalized) | - | 8.7 | A | - | - | - | 9.4 | A | - | - |
| EBTR | 0.31 | 9.0 | A | - | - | 0.29 | 8.7 | A | - | - |
| WBTL | 0.21 | 8.7 | A | - | - | 0.39 | 10.1 | B | - | - |

The results of the LOS analysis indicate that all intersections are operating within the typical design limits noted in Section 3.1.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the eastbound left turn lane movement at the Main Street / Poyntz Street intersection (PM peak hour) is longer than the existing left turn storage length; however, as the additional queue is only marginally longer than the storage length, the additional queueing is not expected to cause any operational or safety concerns in this case.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the westbound left turn lane movement at the intersection of Main Street / Thompsons Road (in the AM and PM peak hour) is longer than the existing left turn storage length. For the same reasons outlined in Section 3.2, the additional queuing is not anticipated to result in any
operational or traffic safety issues on Thompsons Road and the minor operational traffic constraints for northbound traffic on Murray Road are considered to be acceptable in this case.

The criterion outlined in Section E. 7 of the MTO GDSOH (60vph minimum right turn volume warrant) has been used to assess whether an auxiliary right turn lane is required at all unsignalized intersections. Based on the above-noted criteria, the minimum traffic volume requirement has been met for a right turn lane at the Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street intersection in the eastbound direction during the PM peak hour and for a right turn lane at the Owen Street / Poyntz Street intersection in the westbound direction in the PM peak hour; however, since both these intersections are all-way stop control and the Synchro analysis shows that the intersections are operating with a very good LOS, a right turn lane is not recommended for these movements.

Based on the Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 Signal Justification, traffic signals are not warranted at any unsignalized intersections (results are provided in Appendix E).

No infrastructure improvements are recommended within the study area to accommodate the background (2028) traffic volume.

## 4 Proposed Development Traffic Generation and Assignment

### 4.1 Traffic Generation

The traffic generation for the Harbour Point Subdivision has been based on the ITE Trip Generation Manual. The following ITE land use has been applied to estimate the traffic from the Harbour Point Subdivision:

- ITE land use 210 (Single-Family Detached Housing) - General Urban / Suburban Setting
- ITE land use 221 (Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)) - General Urban / Suburban Setting

The estimated trip generation of the Harbour Point Subdivision is illustrated below in Table 9. The AM and PM peak traffic generation for the Harbour Point Subdivision does not exactly align with the AM and PM peak hour in the traffic counts; consequently, we have applied the peak hour of adjacent street traffic values provided in the ITE Trip Generation Manual.

Table 9 - Estimated Traffic Generation of Proposed Development

| Land Use | Size | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IN | OUT | TOTAL | IN | OUT | TOTAL |
| Single-Family Detached <br> Housing <br> ITE Land Use: 210 | 180 units $^{1}$ | 33 | 100 | 133 | 113 | 66 | 179 |
| Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) <br> ITE Land Use: 221 | 30 units $^{2}$ | 3 | 8 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 14 |
| TOTAL TRIP GENERATION |  | 36 | 108 | 144 | 122 | 71 | 193 |

[^0]No transportation modal split has been applied to the above-noted traffic generation calculation.

### 4.2 Traffic Assignment

For the purposes of this study, it has been assumed that all traffic generated by the Harbour Point Subdivision will be new traffic and would not be in the study area if the development was not constructed.

The ITE data provides the anticipated percentage of new traffic entering and exiting during the peak hour. The distribution of traffic has been calculated based on the 2011 Transportation Tomorrow Survey [TTS] data for traffic zone 8665, retrieved using the TTS Internet Data Retrieval System [IDRS] (output attached as Appendix G). TTS data provides historical origin and destination work trip percentages for specific areas within the Town and the GTHA.

Traffic distribution for the trips generated by the Harbour Point Subdivision during the AM and PM peak hour is expected to generally follow commuter travel patterns. Our analysis is based on egress traffic during the AM peak hour. Logically, the distribution of ingress traffic will follow the inverse of the exiting traffic distribution. For each of the individual areas identified in the TTS data, we have selected the probable route of travel, assuming that people will select their route primarily based on travel time. The road network used in our analysis does not include the planned extension of Thompsons Road. An additional analysis is provided in Section 6 to assess the impact of the planned extension of Thompsons Road.

The distribution of trips is illustrated in Table 10 using the methodology outlined above.
Table 10 - Proposed Development Traffic Distribution

| Travel Direction (to / from) | Percentage of Total <br> Traffic Generation |
| :---: | :---: |
| East via Robert Street | $21 \%$ |
| West via Robert Street | $12 \%$ |
| North via Main Street | $10 \%$ |
| South via Main Street | $51 \%$ |
| East via Thompsons Road | $6 \%$ |
|  | TOTAL |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Using the traffic distribution pattern noted above, the site traffic assignment for the Harbour Point Subdivision was calculated for the AM and PM peak hour and is illustrated in Figure F in Appendix B.

### 4.3 Total Horizon Year Traffic Volumes with the Proposed Development

For the total (2028) horizon year traffic volumes, the Harbour Point Subdivision traffic was added to the background (2028) traffic volumes. The resulting total (2028) horizon year traffic volume for the AM and PM peak hour are illustrated in Figure G in Appendix B.

## 5 Intersection Development

## Operation with

Proposed

### 5.1 Total (2028) Intersection Operation

The results of the LOS analysis under total (2028) traffic volumes excluding the Thompsons Road West connection (discussed further in Section 6) during the AM and PM peak hour can be found below in Table 11. The lane improvement identified in Section 2.2 with existing traffic control has been utilized for this scenario. Detailed output of the Synchro analysis can be found in Appendix H.

Table 11 - Total (2028) LOS

| Location <br> (N-S Street / E-W Street) | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V/C | Delay (s) | LOS | $95^{\text {th }} \%$ Queue <br> (m) |  | V/C | Delay <br> (s) | LOS | $95^{\text {th }} \%$ Queue <br> (m) |  |
|  |  |  |  | Model | Actual |  |  |  | Model | Actual |
| Main Street / Robert Street (signalized) | 0.36 | 13.5 | B | - | - | 0.41 | 12.3 | B | - | - |
| EBL | 0.18 | 17.0 | B | 10.0 | 30.0 | 0.14 | 16.9 | B | 9.3 | 30.0 |
| EBT | 0.52 | 19.3 | B | - | - | 0.22 | 17.3 | B | - | - |
| EBR | 0.10 | 16.5 | B | 7.8 | 25.0 | 0.09 | 16.6 | B | 10.6 | 25.0 |
| WBL | 0.57 | 21.4 | C | - | - | 0.55 | 20.7 | C | - | - |
| WBTR | 0.36 | 18.0 | B | - | - | 0.35 | 18.1 | B | - | - |
| NBL | 0.14 | 6.7 | A | - | - | 0.21 | 7.1 | A | - | - |
| NBTR | 0.27 | 7.5 | A | - | - | 0.36 | 8.0 | A | - | - |
| SBL | 0.01 | 5.8 | A | 1.5 | 10.0 | 0.01 | 5.7 | A | 1.7 | 10.0 |
| SBTR | 0.22 | 7.0 | A | - | - | 0.20 | 6.7 | A | - | - |
| Main Street / Poyntz Street (signalized) | 0.52 | 11.1 | B | - | - | 0.58 | 11.4 | B | - | - |
| EBL | 0.41 | 21.0 | C | 23.2 | 28.0 | 0.48 | 21.4 | C | 33.3 | 28.0 |
| EBR | 0.21 | 19.8 | B | - | - | 0.16 | 19.2 | B | - | - |
| NBL | 0.56 | 11.0 | B | - | - | 0.62 | 12.8 | B | - | - |
| NBT | 0.27 | 6.0 | A | - | - | 0.33 | 6.8 | A | - | - |
| SBTR | 0.21 | 5.5 | A | - | - | 0.20 | 5.7 | A | - | - |
| Main Street / Thompsons Road (signalized) | 0.52 | 10.1 | B | - | - | 0.52 | 10.0 | B | - | - |
| WBL | 0.64 | 27.1 | C | 46.9 | 15.0 | 0.66 | 27.6 | C | 54.8 | 15.0 |
| WBR | 0.04 | 20.1 | C | - | - | 0.03 | 19.9 | B | - | - |
| NBT | 0.26 | 6.3 | A | - | - | 0.39 | 7.2 | A | - | - |
| NBR | 0.14 | 5.8 | A | 11.8 | 1.0 | 0.24 | 6.6 | A | 22.8 | 1.0 |
| SBTL | 0.42 | 7.4 | A | - | - | 0.41 | 7.4 | A | - | - |
| Owen Street / Poyntz Street (unsignalized) | - | 13.3 | B | - | - | - | 13.8 | B | - | - |
| EB | 0.59 | 15.4 | C | - | - | 0.34 | 10.8 | B | - | - |
| WB | 0.45 | 12.3 | B | - | - | 0.67 | 16.6 | C | - | - |
| Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street (unsignalized) | - | 9.8 | A | - | - | - | 10.0 | A | - | - |
| EBTR | 0.34 | 10.0 | B | - | - | 0.33 | 9.5 | A | - | - |
| WBTL | 0.24 | 9.5 | A | - | - | 0.41 | 10.9 | B | - | - |

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the eastbound left turn lane movement at the Main Street / Poyntz Street intersection (PM peak hour) is longer than the existing left turn storage length; however, as the additional queue is only marginally longer than the storage length, the additional queueing is not expected to cause any operational or safety concerns in this case.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the westbound left turn lane movement at the intersection of Main Street / Thompsons Road (in the AM and PM peak hour) is longer than the existing left turn storage length. For the same reasons outlined in Section 3.2, the additional queuing is not anticipated to result in any operational or traffic safety issues on Thompsons Road and the minor operational traffic constraints for northbound traffic on Murray Road are considered to be acceptable in this case.

The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the northbound right turn lane movement at the intersection of Main Street / Thompsons Road (in the AM and PM peak hour) is also longer than the existing left turn storage length. The proposed road improvements on Main Street includes a northbound right turn taper at Thompsons Road, with no dedicated storage length. Consequently, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for the northbound right turn movement exceeds the storage length (in the AM and PM peak hour); however, the right turn taper lane is intended to function as a deceleration taper and not specifically to accommodate right turn storage. Consequently, the additional queueing is not expected to cause any operational or safety concerns in this case.

For illustrative purposes, a sensitivity analysis was completed with the auxiliary northbound right turn lane removed in the Synchro model at this intersection, to assess how this intersection would operate when the right turn taper lane is blocked. The results of the above-noted scenario during the AM and PM peak hour can be found below in Table 12. Detailed output of the Synchro analysis can be found in Appendix H .

Table 12 - Total (2028) LOS Sensitivity Analysis for Main Street / Thompsons Road Intersection

| Location(N-S Street / E-W Street) | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V/C | Delay (s) | LOS | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ \% Queue (m) |  | V/C | Delay (s) | LOS | 95 ${ }^{\text {th }} \%$ Queue (m) |  |
|  |  |  |  | Model | Actual |  |  |  | Model | Actual |
| Main Street / Thompsons Road (signalized) | 0.52 | 10.3 | B | - | - | 0.61 | 10.7 | B | - | - |
| WBL | 0.64 | 27.1 | C | 46.9 | 15.0 | 0.66 | 27.6 | C | 54.8 | 15.0 |
| WBR | 0.04 | 20.1 | C | - | - | 0.03 | 19.9 | B | - | - |
| NBTR | 0.33 | 6.8 | A | - | - | 0.51 | 8.3 | A | - | - |
| SBTL | 0.42 | 7.4 | A | - | - | 0.42 | 7.5 | A | - | - |

The results of the LOS analysis indicate that all intersections are operating within the typical design limits noted in Section 3.1.

The criterion outlined in Section E. 7 of the MTO GDSOH (60vph minimum right turn volume warrant) has been used to assess whether an auxiliary right turn lane is required at all unsignalized intersections. Based on the above-noted criteria, the minimum traffic volume requirement has been met for a right turn lane at the Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street intersection in the eastbound direction during the PM peak hour and for a right turn lane at the Owen Street / Poyntz Street intersection in the westbound direction in the PM peak hour; however, since both these intersections are all-way stop control and the Synchro analysis shows that the intersections are operating with a very good LOS, a right turn lane is not recommended for these movements.

Based on the Ontario Traffic Manual Book 12 Signal Justification, traffic signals are not warranted at all unsignalized intersections (results are provided in Appendix E).

No infrastructure improvements are recommended within the study area to accommodate the total (2028) traffic volume.

### 5.2 John Street Connection

Previous submissions for the Harbour Point Subdivision included a connection to John Street which has been removed from the current site plan. The impact of this is considered to be negligible as there are two alternate connections from the proposed development to the north via Owen Street and Bellisle Road. Furthermore, there are four connections to the south to Thompsons Road West.

## 6 Thompsons Road West Connection

In order to assess the impact of the Thompsons Road West connection to Main Street, an additional analysis of the total (2028) scenario traffic was completed which included the planned future connection of Thompsons Road West to Main Street.

Using the traffic distribution pattern outlined in Table 10, the site traffic assignment was reevaluated to account for the Thompsons Road West connection during the AM and PM peak hour. The revised assignment of the traffic from the Harbour Point Subdivision is illustrated in Figure H in Appendix B.

In order to account for the redistribution of existing traffic to Thompsons Road West, $1 / 3$ of the eastbound right turn and northbound left turn traffic at the Main Street / Poyntz Street intersection was reassigned to the Main Street / Thompsons Road intersection. This is to account for the traffic to and from the existing development southwest of Main Street / Robert Street. No traffic was reassigned from the Main Street / Robert Street intersection to Thompsons Road as Thompsons Road West will not connect with Robert Street by 2028. For the total (2028) horizon year traffic volumes with the Thompsons Road West connection, the Harbour Point Subdivision traffic with the Thompsons Road West connection was added to the background (2028) traffic volumes with the above noted traffic reassignment. The resulting total (2028) horizon year traffic volume for the AM and PM peak hour are illustrated in Figure I in Appendix B. Figure J in Appendix B is provided to illustrate the redistribution of traffic within the study area as a result of the Thompsons Road West connection during the Total (2028) scenario is provided in.

The results of the additional analysis with the Thompsons Road West connection to Main Street, during the AM and PM peak hour, can be found below in Table 13. Detailed output of the Synchro analysis can be found in Appendix $\mathbf{H}$.

Table 13 - Total (2028) LOS with Thompsons Road West connection at Main Street

| Location <br> (N-S Street / E-W Street) | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V/C | Delay (s) | LOS | $95^{\text {th }}$ \% Queue (m) |  | V/C | Delay (s) | LOS | $95^{\text {th }}$ \% Queue (m) |  |
|  |  |  |  | Model | Actual |  |  |  | Model | Actual |
| Main Street / Robert Street (signalized) | 0.37 | 13.5 | B | - | - | 0.42 | 12.3 | B | - | - |
| EBL | 0.17 | 17.0 | B | 9.8 | 30.0 | 0.13 | 16.9 | B | 9.1 | 30.0 |
| EBT | 0.51 | 19.2 | B | - | - | 0.21 | 17.2 | B | - | - |
| EBR | 0.10 | 16.5 | B | 7.8 | 25.0 | 0.09 | 16.6 | B | 10.5 | 25.0 |
| WBL | 0.57 | 21.2 | C | - | - | 0.56 | 20.8 | C | - | - |
| WBTR | 0.36 | 18.0 | B | - | - | 0.35 | 18.1 | B | - | - |
| NBL | 0.14 | 6.7 | A | - | - | 0.21 | 7.1 | A | - | - |
| NBTR | 0.28 | 7.5 | A | - | - | 0.36 | 8.0 | A | - | - |
| SBL | 0.01 | 5.8 | A | 1.5 | 10.0 | 0.01 | 5.7 | A | 1.7 | 10.0 |
| SBTR | 0.22 | 7.0 | A | - | - | 0.20 | 6.7 | A | - | - |
| Main Street / Poyntz Street (signalized) | 0.36 | 9.5 | A | - | - | 0.39 | 9.8 | A | - | - |
| EBL | 0.40 | 21.0 | C | 22.5 | 28.0 | 0.48 | 21.4 | C | 32.9 | 28.0 |
| EBR | 0.12 | 19.4 | B | - | - | 0.10 | 18.9 | B | - | - |
| NBL | 0.35 | 7.6 | B | - | - | 0.36 | 8.0 | A | - | - |
| NBT | 0.27 | 6.0 | A | - | - | 0.33 | 6.8 | A | - | - |
| SBTR | 0.21 | 5.4 | A | - | - | 0.20 | 5.7 | A | - | - |
| Main Street / Thompsons Road (signalized) | 0.52 | 12.9 | B | - | - | 0.65 | 12.2 | B | - | - |
| EB | 0.12 | 20.1 | C | - | - | 0.10 | 20.0 | B | - | - |
| WBL | 0.79 | 36.4 | D | 56.7 | 15.0 | 0.75 | 32.8 | C | 65.0 | 15.0 |
| WBTR | 0.06 | 19.6 | B | - | - | 0.05 | 19.7 | B | - | - |
| NBT | 0.35 | 7.6 | A | - | - | 0.53 | 9.3 | A | - | - |
| NBR | 0.11 | 6.2 | A | 6.8 | 1.0 | 0.21 | 6.8 | A | 17.0 | 1.0 |
| SB | 0.35 | 7.6 | A | - | - | 0.36 | 7.6 | A | - | - |
| Owen Street / Poyntz Street (unsignalized) | - | 10.2 | B | - | - | - | 10.1 | B | - | - |
| EB | 0.38 | 11.0 | B | - | - | 0.21 | 9.2 | A | - | - |
| WB | 0.25 | 9.6 | A | - | - | 0.44 | 11.0 | B | - | - |
| Bellisle Road / Poyntz Street (unsignalized) | - | 9.0 | A | - | - | - | 9.2 | A | - | - |
| EBTR | 0.32 | 9.3 | A | - | - | 0.31 | 9.0 | A | - | - |
| WBTL | 0.14 | 8.6 | A | - | - | 0.33 | 9.8 | A | - | - |

As illustrated above, the local road network will be able to convey the total (2028) horizon year traffic and there is no significant improvement to the study area intersection operation with the Thompsons Road West connection.

## 7 Summary

Batavia Homes retained JD Engineering to prepare this traffic impact study in support of the proposed Phases 3 \& 4 of the Harbour Point Subdivision (formerly known as the Bellisle Heights Subdivision) in the Town of Penetanguishene [Town], County of Simcoe [County]. The proposed Site Plan is shown in Appendix A. This chapter summarizes the conclusions and recommendations from the study.

The proposed Harbour Point Subdivision includes 320 residential units with the following phasing breakdown:

- Phase 1 - 110 single-detached \& 30 apartment units
- Phase 2-73 single-detached
- Phase 3-72 single-detached
- Phase 4-35 single-detached

The 110 single-detached units in Phase 1 of the Harbour Point Subdivision have been constructed and are currently fully occupied.

Previous submissions for the Harbour Point Subdivision included a connection to John Street. The proposed Harbour Point Subdivision no longer includes the John Street connection, which is reflected in the analysis completed in this study.

1. The Harbour Point Subdivision is expected to generate a total of 144 AM and 193 PM peak hour trips.
2. Detailed turning movement counts were completed for all existing intersections on Thursday, December 14, 2017.
3. An intersection operation analysis was completed at the study area intersections, using the existing (2018) and background (2028) traffic volumes, with the adjacent development traffic and without the Harbour Point Subdivision traffic. This enabled a review of existing and future traffic deficiencies that would be present without the influence of the Harbour Point Subdivision. No geometric lane improvements or traffic signal improvements are recommended within the study area in order to accommodate the anticipated traffic for the existing (2018) or background (2028) scenario.
4. An estimate of the amount of traffic that would be generated by the Harbour Point Subdivision was prepared and assigned to the study area streets and intersections.
5. An intersection operation analysis was completed under total (2028) traffic volumes with the Harbour Point Subdivision operational at the study area intersections, with no connection at John Street and no extension of Thompson Road West to Main Street. This scenario included the planned road improvements on Main Street, as proposed by the Town. No additional geometric lane improvements or traffic signal improvements are recommended within the study area in order to accommodate the anticipated traffic for this scenario.
6. An intersection operation analysis was completed using the total (2028) traffic volumes with the proposed extension to Thompsons Road West to assess the traffic operation for this scenario. This scenario included the planned road improvements on Main Street, as proposed by the Town. Based on our analysis, no additional improvements are required within the study area for this scenario, with the proposed extension of Thompsons Road West.
7. In summary, the Harbour Point Subdivision will not cause any operational issues and will not add a notable delay or congestion to the local roadway network.

## Appendix A Site Plan



## Appendix B - <br> Traffic Volume Figures

## FIGURE INDEX:

| FIGURE | A | Adjacent Development Traffic Volumes - Shoppers Drugmart Primary Traffic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FIGURE | B | Adjacent Development Traffic Volumes - Shoppers Drugmart Pass-by Traffic |
| FIGURE | C | Adjacent Development Traffic Volumes - Georgian Bay Cancer Centre Office Building |
| FIGURE | D | Existing Traffic Volumes |
| FIGURE | E | Background (2028) Traffic Volumes |
| FIGURE | F | Site Traffic Assignment Excluding the Thompsons Road West Connection |
| FIGURE | G | Total (2028) Traffic Volumes Excluding the Thompsons Road West Connection |
| FIGURE | H | Site Traffic Assignment Including the Thompsons Road West Connection |
| FIGURE | I | Total (2028) Traffic Volumes Including the Thompsons Road West Connection |
| FIGURE | J | Total (2028) Traffic Volume Redistribution - Thompsons Road West Connection |

Figure A - Adjacent Development Traffic Volumes - Shoppers Drugmart Primary Traffic


Figure B - Adjacent Development Traffic Volumes - Shoppers Drugmart Pass-by Traffic


Figure C - Adjacent Development Traffic Volumes - Georgian Bay Cancer Centre Office Building


Figure D - Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes


Figure E - Background (2028) Traffic Volumes


Figure F - Site Traffic Assignment Excluding the Thompsons Road West Connection


Figure G - Total (2028) Traffic Volumes Excluding the Thompsons Road West Connection


Figure H - Site Traffic Assignment Including the Thompsons Road West Connection


Figure I - Total (2028) Traffic Volumes Including the Thompsons Road West Connection

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \notin 22(22) \\ & \leftarrow 112(133) \\ & \boldsymbol{F} 124(159) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| (85) 1 <br> (133) <br> SUBUECT STITE |  | $443 \quad(30)$ <br> $\leftarrow 8 \quad(12)$ <br> $\lceil 213$ (255) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { (5) } 74 \\ \text { (9) } 9 \rightarrow \\ \text { (90) } 1047 \end{gathered}$ |  |

Figure J - Total (2028) Traffic Volume Redistribution - Thompsons Road West Connection


## Appendix C Traffic Count Data

## Accu-Traffic Inc.
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## Accu-Traffic Inc.
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## Accu-Traffic Inc.

## Total Count Diagram
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## Accu-Traffic Inc.
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## Accu-Traffic Inc.
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## Accu-Traffic Inc.

## Total Count Diagram
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## Accu-Traffic Inc.
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## Accu-Traffic Inc.
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## Accu-Traffic Inc.

## Total Count Diagram



Comments

Accu-Traffic Inc.
Traffic Monitoring \& Data Analysis

## Accu-Traffic Inc.

| Morning Peak Diagram | Specified Period <br> From: 7:00:00 <br> To: 9:00:00 | One Hour Peak <br> From: 8:00:00 <br> To: 9:00:00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipality: Penetanguishene <br> Site \#: 1725900004 <br> Intersection: Poyntz St \& Bellisle Rd <br> TFR File \#: 1 <br> Count date: 14-Dec-17 | Person counted: <br> Person prepared: <br> Person checked: |  |
| ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** | Major Road: Poyntz St runs W/E |  |
|  |  | East Leg Total: 241 <br> East Entering: 89 <br> East Peds: 4 <br> Peds Cross: 8 |



## Accu-Traffic Inc.

| Afternoon Peak Diagram | Specified Period <br> From: 16:00:00 <br> To: 19:00:00 | One Hour Peak <br> From: 17:00:00 <br> To: 18:00:00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Municipality: Penetanguishene Weather conditions: <br> Site \#:  <br> Intersection: Poyntz St \& Bellisle Rd Person counted: <br> TFR File \#: 1 Person prepared: <br> Count date: 14-Dec-17 Person checked: <br> ** Non-Signalized Intersection ** Major Road: Poyntz St runs W/E  |  |  |

East Leg Total: 319
East Entering: 201
East Peds:
8
Peds Cross: $\quad$,


Comments

## Accu-Traffic Inc.

## Total Count Diagram



Comments

## Accu-Traffic Inc.



Comments

## Accu-Traffic Inc.



Comments

## Accu-Traffic Inc.

## Total Count Diagram



## Appendix D Synchro Analysis Output Existing Traffic Volumes

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\pm$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 31 | 137 | 98 | 98 | 88 | 54 | 75 | 3 | 121 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 31 | 137 | 98 | 98 | 88 | 54 | 75 | 3 | 121 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 39 | 173 | 124 | 124 | 134 | 68 | 241 | 4 | 176 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.17 |
| Control Delay | 18.0 | 21.5 | 5.9 | 24.5 | 17.6 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 18.0 | 21.5 | 5.9 | 24.5 | 17.6 | 6.7 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 3.2 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 9.9 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 0.2 | 6.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 8.2 | 25.5 | 7.6 | 20.6 | 18.7 | 7.5 | 11.2 | 1.2 | 14.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 253.7 |  |  | 92.3 |  | 140.3 |  | 209.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 30.0 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 594 | 877 | 809 | 573 | 869 | 669 | 1006 | 646 | 1036 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.17 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 67
Actuated Cycle Length: 53.7
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 1: Main St \& Robert St


c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 |  | 4 |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 |  | *4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 73 | 157 | 164 | 190 | 212 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 73 | 157 | 164 | 190 | 212 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 94 | 201 | 0 | 454 | 389 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 43.7\% | 43.7\% | 56.3\% | 56.3\% | 56.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Max | Max | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.45 |  | 0.31 | 0.18 |
| Control Delay | 22.7 | 7.4 |  | 6.1 | 3.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 22.7 | 7.4 |  | 6.1 | 3.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 8.8 | 0.0 |  | 10.5 | 5.5 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 16.9 | 9.6 |  | 14.8 | 8.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 255.0 |  |  | 488.9 | 140.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 787 | 801 |  | 1451 | 2127 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.25 |  | 0.31 | 0.18 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 71
Actuated Cycle Length: 57.4
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 2: Main St \& Poyntz St




Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 64.6
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 3: Main St \& Thompson Rd



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\$$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 10 | 142 | 4 | 16 | 94 | 44 | 0 | 5 | 33 | 89 | 5 | 11 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 | 142 | 4 | 16 | 94 | 44 | 0 | 5 | 33 | 89 | 5 | 11 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 14 | 203 | 6 | 23 | 134 | 63 | 0 | 7 | 47 | 127 | 7 | 16 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 223 | 220 | 54 | 150 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 14 | 23 | 0 | 127 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 6 | 63 | 47 | 16 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.00 | -0.14 | -0.52 | 0.11 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.1 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.07 | 0.21 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 722 | 744 | 684 | 642 |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.6 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 9.5 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.6 | 9.3 | 8.0 | 9.5 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Delay | 9.4 |  |  |
| Level of Service | A |  | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $32.3 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period $(\min )$ | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 107 | 30 | 38 | 53 | 6 | 48 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 107 | 30 | 38 | 53 | 6 | 48 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 165 | 46 | 58 | 82 | 9 | 74 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 211 | 140 | 83 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 58 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 46 | 0 | 74 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.13 | 0.10 | -0.51 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 855 | 787 | 800 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.4 | 8.3 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.4 | 8.3 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 27.4\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 67
Actuated Cycle Length: 53.1
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 1: Main St \& Robert St


c Critical Lane Group

|  | 4 | $\cdots$ | 4 |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 7 |  | ¢4 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 111 | 160 | 188 | 298 | 244 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 111 | 160 | 188 | 298 | 244 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 116 | 167 | 0 | 506 | 346 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | Perm | NA | NA |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 43.7\% | 43.7\% | 56.3\% | 56.3\% | 56.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Max | Max | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.36 | 0.40 |  | 0.33 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 23.7 | 7.2 |  | 6.3 | 3.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.7 | 7.2 |  | 6.3 | 3.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 11.0 | 0.0 |  | 11.8 | 5.1 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 23.4 | 13.0 |  | 21.1 | 10.5 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 255.0 |  |  | 488.9 | 140.3 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 773 | 774 |  | 1552 | 2129 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.15 | 0.22 |  | 0.33 | 0.16 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 71
Actuated Cycle Length: 58.6
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 2: Main St \& Poyntz St




Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 64.8
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 3: Main St \& Thompson Rd



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | \% | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 116 | 0 | 30 | 187 | 81 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 63 | 7 | 17 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 116 | 0 | 30 | 187 | 81 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 63 | 7 | 17 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 8 | 130 | 0 | 34 | 210 | 91 | 1 | 3 | 22 | 71 | 8 | 19 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 138 | 335 | 26 | 98 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 8 | 34 | 1 | 71 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 91 | 22 | 19 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.03 | -0.14 | -0.50 | 0.03 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.6 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 5.0 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.18 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.14 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 748 | 819 | 692 | 650 |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.6 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 8.8 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.6 | 10.0 | 7.8 | 8.8 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 9.4 |  |  |
| Level of Service | A |  | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $41.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period $(\min )$ | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 92 | 73 | 110 | 95 | 1 | 29 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 92 | 73 | 110 | 95 | 1 | 29 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 116 | 92 | 139 | 120 | 1 | 37 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 208 | 259 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 139 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 92 | 0 | 37 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.27 | 0.11 | -0.51 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.0 | 4.3 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 883 | 816 | 742 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 9.2 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.2 | 9.2 | 7.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 36.4\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix E- <br> OTM Signal Justification Sheets

Justification No. 7-2028 Total Traffic Excluding Thompson Street Extension (Critical Case)

| Owen St / Poyntz St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification | Description |  | Compliance |  |  | Signal Warrant | Underground Provisions Warrant |
|  |  |  | Sectional |  | Entire \% |  |  |
|  |  | Rest. Flow | Numerical | \% |  |  |  |
| 1. Minimum Vehicluar Volume | A. Vehicle volume, all aproaches (average hour) | 720 | 378 | 53\% | 42\% | NO | NO |
|  | B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour) | 170 | 85 | 50\% |  | NO | NO |
| 2. Delay to cross traffic | A. Vehicle volume, major street (average hour) | 720 | 254 | 35\% | 29\% | NO | NO |
|  | B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour) | 75 | 63 | 84\% |  | NO | NO |

Justification No. 7-2028 Total Traffic Excluding Thompson Street Extension (Critical Case)

| Owen St / Poytnz St |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Justification | Description |  | Compliance |  |  | Signal Warrant | Underground Provisions Warrant |
|  |  |  | Sectional |  | Entire \% |  |  |
|  |  | Rest. Flow | Numerical | \% |  |  |  |
| 1. Minimum Vehicluar Volume | A. Vehicle volume, all aproaches (average hour) | 720 | 260 | 36\% | 23\% | NO | NO |
|  | B. Vehicle volume, along minor streets (average hour) | 255 | 71 | 28\% |  | NO | NO |
|  | A. Vehicle volume, major street (average hour) | 720 | 153 | 21\% | 12\% | NO | NO |
| 2. Delay to cross traffic | B. Combined vehicle and pedestrian volume crossing artery from minor streets (average hour) | 75 | 11 | 15\% |  | NO | NO |

## Appendix F -

 Synchro Analysis Output -Background Traffic Volumes


Cycle Length: 67
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.1
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 3: Main St \& Robert St





|  | 7 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「' | 44 | 「 |  | ¢4 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 213 | 49 | 454 | 127 | 27 | 576 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 213 | 49 | 454 | 127 | 27 | 576 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 59 | 547 | 153 | 0 | 727 |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 43.5\% | 43.5\% | 21.7\% | 65.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Max | Max | None | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.15 | 0.25 | 0.15 |  | 0.38 |
| Control Delay | 30.9 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 4.8 |  | 8.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.9 | 7.2 | 6.7 | 4.8 |  | 8.2 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 30.0 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 5.1 |  | 23.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 46.7 | 6.9 | 22.7 | 11.7 |  | 33.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 452.9 |  | 413.1 |  |  | 488.9 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 492 | 479 | 2175 | 1002 |  | 1937 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.15 |  | 0.38 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 65.9
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 13: Main St \& Thompson Rd


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | - | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | \$ |  |  | $\$$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 12 | 177 | 5 | 20 | 115 | 54 | 0 | 6 | 41 | 110 | 6 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 12 | 177 | 5 | 20 | 115 | 54 | 0 | 6 | 41 | 110 | 6 | 13 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 17 | 253 | 7 | 29 | 164 | 77 | 0 | 9 | 59 | 157 | 9 | 19 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 277 | 270 | 68 | 185 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 17 | 29 | 0 | 157 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 7 | 77 | 59 | 19 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.01 | -0.15 | -0.52 | 0.11 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.1 | 5.5 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.28 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 679 | 699 | 604 | 598 |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.1 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 10.6 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.1 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 10.6 |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A | B |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Delay | 10.6 |  |  |
| Level of Service | B |  | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $36.6 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 133 | 37 | 46 | 65 | 7 | 60 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 133 | 37 | 46 | 65 | 7 | 60 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 205 | 57 | 71 | 100 | 11 | 92 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 262 | 171 | 103 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 71 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 57 | 0 | 92 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.13 | 0.08 | -0.45 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 834 | 768 | 749 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.0 | 8.7 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 31.3\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 67
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.1
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 3: Main St \& Robert St


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | \% | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 35 | 81 | 134 | 149 | 118 | 22 | 132 | 168 | 190 | 5 | 160 | 27 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 35 | 81 | 134 | 149 | 118 | 22 | 132 | 168 | 190 | 5 | 160 | 27 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 0.92 |  | 1.00 | 0.98 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1795 | 1900 | 1565 | 1752 | 1847 |  | 1789 | 1706 |  | 1786 | 1835 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.66 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.00 |  | 0.64 | 1.00 |  | 0.53 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1255 | 1900 | 1565 | 1295 | 1847 |  | 1196 | 1706 |  | 1002 | 1835 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 36 | 84 | 140 | 155 | 123 | 23 | 138 | 175 | 198 | 5 | 167 | 28 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 36 | 84 | 32 | 155 | 134 | 0 | 138 | 324 | 0 | 5 | 188 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 6 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 6 | 13 |  | 32 | 32 |  | 13 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 |  | 30.6 | 30.6 |  | 30.6 | 30.6 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 | 12.4 |  | 30.6 | 30.6 |  | 30.6 | 30.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 |  | 0.56 | 0.56 |  | 0.56 | 0.56 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 282 | 428 | 352 | 291 | 416 |  | 665 | 949 |  | 557 | 1020 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.07 |  |  | c0.19 |  |  | 0.10 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | 0.03 |  | 0.02 | c0.12 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.00 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.13 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.53 | 0.32 |  | 0.21 | 0.34 |  | 0.01 | 0.18 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 17.0 | 17.3 | 16.8 | 18.7 | 17.8 |  | 6.1 | 6.7 |  | 5.4 | 6.0 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 0.4 |  | 0.7 | 1.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |
| Delay (s) | 17.2 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 20.6 | 18.2 |  | 6.8 | 7.7 |  | 5.5 | 6.4 |  |
| Level of Service | B | B | B | C | B |  | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 17.2 |  |  | 19.5 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 6.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 12.1 |  | CM 2000 | evel of | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 55.0 |  | Sum of los | time (s) |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 78.3\% |  | CU Level | Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | 7 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% | $\pm$ | $\frac{1}{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F | 44 | 「 |  | ¢4 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 255 | 35 | 736 | 241 | 37 | 625 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 255 | 35 | 736 | 241 | 37 | 625 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 271 | 37 | 783 | 256 | 0 | 704 |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 43.5\% | 43.5\% | 21.7\% | 65.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Max | Max | None | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.26 |  | 0.38 |
| Control Delay | 31.6 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 5.9 |  | 8.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 31.6 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 5.9 |  | 8.3 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 31.9 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 10.6 |  | 23.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 54.8 | 6.3 | 37.6 | 22.5 |  | 36.4 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 452.9 |  | 413.1 |  |  | 488.9 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 492 | 467 | 2166 | 1001 |  | 1859 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.26 |  | 0.38 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 66.1
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 13: Main St \& Thompson Rd



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 9 | 143 | 0 | 38 | 232 | 100 | 1 | 4 | 24 | 78 | 9 | 21 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 9 | 143 | 0 | 38 | 232 | 100 | 1 | 4 | 24 | 78 | 9 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 10 | 161 | 0 | 43 | 261 | 112 | 1 | 4 | 27 | 88 | 10 | 24 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 171 | 416 | 32 | 122 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 10 | 43 | 1 | 88 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 112 | 27 | 24 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.03 | -0.14 | -0.50 | 0.03 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.8 | 4.4 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.23 | 0.51 | 0.04 | 0.18 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 701 | 791 | 619 | 608 |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.2 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 9.5 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.2 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 9.5 |
| Approach LOS | A | B | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 10.7 |  |  |
| Level of Service | B |  | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $50.4 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period $(\min )$ | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 114 | 89 | 137 | 117 | 1 | 35 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 114 | 89 | 137 | 117 | 1 | 35 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 144 | 113 | 173 | 148 | 1 | 44 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 257 | 321 | 45 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 173 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 113 | 0 | 44 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.26 | 0.11 | -0.52 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 862 | 803 | 692 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.7 | 10.1 | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.7 | 10.1 | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 41.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

# Appendix G - <br> Transportation Tomorrow Survey - Excerpt 

| Tue Dec 192017 16:48:30 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 3448ms | Tue Dec 192017 16:51:30 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 4340ms |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011 | Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011 |
| Row: Planning district of destination - pd_dest | Row: 2006 GTA zone of destination - gta06_dest |
| Column: 2006 GTA zone of household - gta06_hhld | Column: 2006 GTA zone of household - gta06_hhld |
| Filters: <br> (2006 GTA zone of household - gta06_hhld In 8665); and <br> (Start time of trip - start_time In 700-900); and <br> (Trip purpose of destination - purp_dest $\ln \mathrm{W}$ ) | Filters: <br> (2006 GTA zone of household - gta06_hhld In 8665); and (Start time of trip - start_time In 700-900); and (Trip purpose of destination - purp_dest $\ln \mathrm{W}$ ); and |
| Trip 2011 <br> ROW : pd_dest <br> COLUMN : gta06 hhld |  |

Hello John Northcote
Database Index DMG TTS CCP Contact Logout

## TTS Cross Tabulation

## Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011

## Filter Variables

Planning district of desti... $\times \geqslant 2006$ GTA zone of hous... $\times \geqslant \geqslant$ (Optional) Table Attribute $\quad$.

## Group Attributes

| Row Grouping | Column Grouping |
| :--- | :--- |

Grouping file: Choose File No file chosen

## Filter Selection +



## Output

Comma-delimited table Column format Expansion Factor On Click to Select Load Load
Execute Query Select All Save As

Thu Mar 012018 17:29:10 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 2347ms
Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011
ROW: Planning district of destination - pd_dest column: 2006 GTA zone of household - gta06_hhld

Filters:
2006 GTA zone of household - gtae6_hhld In 8665 and
Start time of trip - start_time In 700 - 900 and
Trip purpose of destination - purp_dest In W
Trip 2011
ROW : pd_dest
COLUMN : gta06_hhld
pd_dest gta06_hhld total

| 82 | 8665 | 15 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 129 | 8665 | 43 |
| 130 | 8665 | 167 |
| 131 | 8665 | 197 |
| 132 | 8665 | 25 |

## 重 datamanagementgroup

Hello John Northcote
Database Index DMG TTS CCP Contact Logout

## TTS Cross Tabulation

## Cross Tabulation Query Form - Trip - 2011

Filter Variables
2006 GTA zone of desti... $\times \geqslant 2006$ GTA zone of hous... $\times \vee \vee$ (Optional) Table Attribute

## Group Attributes

| Row Grouping | Column Grouping | Table Grouping |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grouping file: Choose File | le chosen |  |

## Filter Selection +



## Output

Comma-delimited table Column format Expansion Factor On Click to Select Load Load
Execute Query Select All Save As

Thu Mar 012018 17:31:09 GMT-0500 (Eastern Standard Time) - Run Time: 2231ms
Cross Tabulation Query form - Trip - 2011
column: 2006 GTA zone of household - gta06_hhld

Filters:
2006 GTA zone of household - gtae6_hhld In 8665
and
Start time of trip - start_time In 700-900
and
Trip purpose of destination - purp_dest In $W$

| Trip 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROW : gta06_dest |  |  |
| COLUM : gta0 | _hhld |  |
| gtae6_dest | gta06_hhld | total |
| 8560 | 8665 | 15 |
| 8572 | 8665 | 15 |
| 8574 | 8665 | 99 |
| 8576 | 8665 | 80 |
| 8577 | 8665 | 45 |
| 8578 | 8665 | 72 |
| 8604 | 8665 | 28 |
| 8660 | 8665 | 25 |
| 8665 | 8665 | 68 |
| 8913 | 8665 | 28 |

## Appendix H Synchro Analysis Output Total Traffic Volumes



Cycle Length: 67
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.3
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 3: Main St \& Robert St



C Critical Lane Group



|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | F | 44 | F |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 213 | 52 | 472 | 127 | 34 | 630 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 213 | 52 | 472 | 127 | 34 | 630 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 63 | 569 | 153 | 0 | 800 |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 43.5\% | 43.5\% | 21.7\% | 65.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Max | Max | None | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0.15 |  | 0.42 |
| Control Delay | 31.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 4.9 |  | 8.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 31.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 4.9 |  | 8.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 30.1 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 5.2 |  | 26.7 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 46.9 | 7.0 | 23.7 | 11.8 |  | 37.4 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 452.9 |  | 413.1 |  |  | 488.9 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 487 | 482 | 2174 | 1000 |  | 1913 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.15 |  | 0.42 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 65.9
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 13: Main St \& Thompson Rd


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 133 | 41 | 46 | 65 | 20 | 155 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 133 | 41 | 46 | 65 | 20 | 155 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 205 | 63 | 71 | 100 | 31 | 238 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 268 | 171 | 269 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 71 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 63 | 0 | 238 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.14 | 0.10 | -0.51 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.6 | 5.0 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 732 | 676 | 755 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.0 | 9.5 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 37.1\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | F | \% | F | \% | $\uparrow$ |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 37 | 92 | 134 | 157 | 135 | 132 | 173 | 5 | 169 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 37 | 92 | 134 | 157 | 135 | 132 | 173 | 5 | 169 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 39 | 96 | 140 | 164 | 164 | 138 | 382 | 5 | 208 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ Ratio | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.55 | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.21 |
| Control Delay | 17.3 | 17.9 | 5.5 | 26.0 | 18.4 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 17.3 | 17.9 | 5.5 | 26.0 | 18.4 | 8.3 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 3.2 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 13.1 | 6.2 | 13.0 | 0.2 | 8.5 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 9.3 | 17.8 | 10.6 | 30.6 | 26.4 | 17.8 | 34.9 | 1.7 | 22.0 |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) |  | 114.9 |  |  | 92.3 |  | 140.3 |  | 209.3 |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) | 30.0 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 562 | 867 | 789 | 584 | 854 | 646 | 982 | 538 | 1011 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.21 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 67
Actuated Cycle Length: 54.9
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 3: Main St \& Robert St



C Critical Lane Group



|  | 7 |  |  | \% | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F | 44 | F |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 255 | 43 | 797 | 241 | 42 | 661 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 255 | 43 | 797 | 241 | 42 | 661 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 271 | 46 | 848 | 256 | 0 | 748 |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 43.5\% | 43.5\% | 21.7\% | 65.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Max | Max | None | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.11 | 0.39 | 0.26 |  | 0.41 |
| Control Delay | 31.6 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.0 |  | 8.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 31.6 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.0 |  | 8.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 31.9 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 10.8 |  | 25.3 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 54.8 | 7.1 | 41.4 | 22.8 |  | 39.4 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 452.9 |  | 413.1 |  |  | 488.9 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 15.0 |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 492 | 474 | 2166 | 999 |  | 1822 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.26 |  | 0.41 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 66.1
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 13: Main St \& Thompson Rd


|  | 7 | 4 |  |  | , | $\frac{1}{7}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | * | 「 | 44 | 「' |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 255 | 43 | 797 | 241 | 42 | 661 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 255 | 43 | 797 | 241 | 42 | 661 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1805 | 1615 | 3574 | 1615 |  | 3566 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.86 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1805 | 1615 | 3574 | 1615 |  | 3082 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 271 | 46 | 848 | 256 | 45 | 703 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 36 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 271 | 10 | 848 | 235 | 0 | 748 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 40.1 | 40.1 |  | 39.1 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 40.1 | 40.1 |  | 39.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.61 | 0.61 |  | 0.59 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 409 | 366 | 2168 | 979 |  | 1823 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.15 | 0.01 |  | 0.15 |  | c0.24 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 0.24 |  | 0.41 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 23.2 | 19.9 | 6.7 | 6.0 |  | 7.3 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 |  | 0.2 |  |
| Delay (s) | 27.6 | 19.9 | 7.2 | 6.6 |  | 7.4 |  |
| Level of Service | C | B | A | A |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 26.5 |  | 7.1 |  |  | 7.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 10.0 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | B |
|  |  |  | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 66.1 |  | Sum of los | ime (s) | 16.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 74.0\% |  | CU Level | Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 114 | 104 | 137 | 117 | 9 | 98 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 114 | 104 | 137 | 117 | 9 | 98 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 144 | 132 | 173 | 148 | 11 | 124 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 276 | 321 | 135 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 173 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 132 | 0 | 124 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.29 | 0.11 | -0.47 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 800 | 745 | 671 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.5 | 10.9 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.5 | 10.9 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | B | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 45.4\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


|  | 7 | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * | 「 | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 213 | 52 | 472 | 34 | 630 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 213 | 52 | 472 | 34 | 630 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 257 | 63 | 722 | 0 | 800 |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 |  | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 8 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 43.5\% | 21.7\% | 65.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | Max | None | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.34 |  | 0.42 |
| Control Delay | 31.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 |  | 8.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 31.1 | 7.0 | 6.8 |  | 8.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 30.1 | 0.0 | 19.8 |  | 26.8 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 46.9 | 7.0 | 28.5 |  | 37.6 |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) | 452.9 |  | 413.1 |  | 488.9 |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 15.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 487 | 482 | 2130 |  | 1883 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.13 | 0.34 |  | 0.42 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 65.9
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 13: Main St \& Thompson Rd




Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 66.1
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 13: Main St \& Thompson Rd


|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | , | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 「 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 255 | 43 | 797 | 241 | 42 | 661 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 255 | 43 | 797 | 241 | 42 | 661 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.85 | 0.97 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1805 | 1615 | 3458 |  |  | 3566 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.83 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1805 | 1615 | 3458 |  |  | 2977 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 271 | 46 | 848 | 256 | 45 | 703 |  |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 36 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 271 | 10 | 1079 | 0 | 0 | 748 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 40.1 |  |  | 39.1 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 40.1 |  |  | 39.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.61 |  |  | 0.59 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 409 | 366 | 2097 |  |  | 1760 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  | c0.31 |  |  |  |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.15 | 0.01 |  |  |  | 0.25 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.03 | 0.51 |  |  | 0.42 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 23.2 | 19.9 | 7.4 |  |  | 7.4 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 |  |  | 0.2 |  |
| Delay (s) | 27.6 | 19.9 | 8.3 |  |  | 7.5 |  |
| Level of Service | C | B | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 26.5 |  | 8.3 |  |  | 7.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 10.7 |  | HCM 2000 | evel of Service | B |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.61 |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 66.1 |  | Sum of lost | me (s) | 16.0 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 74.0\% |  | CU Level o | Service | D |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |  | 7 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 40 | 181 | 123 | 124 | 112 | 68 | 101 | 4 | 153 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 181 | 123 | 124 | 112 | 68 | 101 | 4 | 153 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 51 | 229 | 156 | 157 | 170 | 86 | 319 | 5 | 223 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 46.3\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% | 53.7\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| v/c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.51 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 0.38 | 0.14 | 0.32 | 0.01 | 0.22 |
| Control Delay | 17.7 | 22.4 | 5.3 | 27.2 | 18.0 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 7.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 17.7 | 22.4 | 5.3 | 27.2 | 18.0 | 8.1 | 5.3 | 7.2 | 7.6 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 4.3 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 13.4 | 3.8 | 8.0 | 0.2 | 9.6 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 9.8 | 32.3 | 7.8 | 25.4 | 22.8 | 10.4 | 19.2 | 1.5 | 20.9 |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) |  | 114.9 |  |  | 92.3 |  | 140.3 |  | 209.3 |
| Turn Bay Length ( $m$ ) | 30.0 |  | 25.0 |  |  |  |  | 10.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 560 | 853 | 801 | 524 | 846 | 623 | 982 | 585 | 1008 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.09 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.32 | 0.01 | 0.22 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 67
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.3
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 3: Main St \& Robert St



C Critical Lane Group



|  | * | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ¢4 | ¢' |  | * $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 9 | 213 | 8 | 74 | 398 | 127 | 24 | 527 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 9 | 213 | 8 | 74 | 398 | 127 | 24 | 527 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 144 | 257 | 62 | 0 | 569 | 153 | 0 | 666 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 43.5\% | 43.5\% | 43.5\% | 21.7\% | 65.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | None | Max |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.29 | 0.79 | 0.14 |  | 0.35 | 0.15 |  | 0.35 |
| Control Delay |  | 7.5 | 43.1 | 8.8 |  | 8.1 | 2.4 |  | 8.5 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 7.5 | 43.1 | 8.8 |  | 8.1 | 2.4 |  | 8.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 1.9 | 31.9 | 1.0 |  | 19.3 | 1.4 |  | 23.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 12.2 | \#56.7 | 8.1 |  | 25.6 | 6.8 |  | 30.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 194.7 |  | 452.9 |  | 413.1 |  |  | 488.9 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 527 | 357 | 475 |  | 1635 | 1009 |  | 1892 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.27 | 0.72 | 0.13 |  | 0.35 | 0.15 |  | 0.35 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 69

## Actuated Cycle Length: 67.5

Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 13: Main St \& Thompson St/Thompson Rd


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\hat{\downarrow}$ |  |  | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |  | А 1 |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 7 | 9 | 104 | 213 | 8 | 43 | 74 | 398 | 127 | 24 | 527 | 2 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 9 | 104 | 213 | 8 | 43 | 74 | 398 | 127 | 24 | 527 | 2 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes |  | 0.99 |  | 1.00 | 0.99 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.88 |  | 1.00 | 0.87 |  |  | 1.00 | 0.85 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |  | 1653 |  | 1786 | 1638 |  |  | 3552 | 1615 |  | 3567 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.98 |  | 0.71 | 1.00 |  |  | 0.77 | 1.00 |  | 0.92 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |  | 1633 |  | 1340 | 1638 |  |  | 2755 | 1615 |  | 3272 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 11 | 125 | 257 | 10 | 52 | 89 | 480 | 153 | 29 | 635 | 2 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 49 | 0 | 257 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 569 | 102 | 0 | 666 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 4 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) |  | 16.4 |  | 16.4 | 16.4 |  |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 39.0 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) |  | 16.4 |  | 16.4 | 16.4 |  |  | 40.0 | 40.0 |  | 39.0 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.24 |  | 0.24 | 0.24 |  |  | 0.59 | 0.59 |  | 0.58 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) |  | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 4.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) |  | 397 |  | 326 | 398 |  |  | 1635 | 958 |  | 1893 |  |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  |  |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm |  | 0.03 |  | c0.19 |  |  |  | c0.21 | 0.06 |  | 0.20 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.12 |  | 0.79 | 0.06 |  |  | 0.35 | 0.11 |  | 0.35 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 |  | 19.9 |  | 23.9 | 19.6 |  |  | 7.0 | 5.9 |  | 7.5 |  |
| Progression Factor |  | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 |  | 0.2 |  | 12.6 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.6 | 0.2 |  | 0.1 |  |
| Delay (s) |  | 20.1 |  | 36.4 | 19.6 |  |  | 7.6 | 6.2 |  | 7.6 |  |
| Level of Service |  | C |  | D | B |  |  | A | A |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 20.1 |  |  | 33.2 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 12.9 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | Service |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratioActuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 0.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 67.4 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 16.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 74.1\% |  | ICU Level | f Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\downarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 28 | 164 | 5 | 20 | 74 | 35 | 0 | 24 | 34 | 89 | 33 | 13 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 28 | 164 | 5 | 20 | 74 | 35 | 0 | 24 | 34 | 89 | 33 | 13 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 40 | 234 | 7 | 29 | 106 | 50 | 0 | 34 | 49 | 127 | 47 | 19 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 281 | 185 | 83 | 193 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 40 | 29 | 0 | 127 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 7 | 50 | 49 | 19 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.01 | -0.12 | -0.35 | 0.07 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.9 | 4.9 | 5.0 | 5.3 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.28 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 691 | 679 | 623 | 627 |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.0 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 10.4 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.0 | 9.6 | 8.7 | 10.4 |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A | B |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 10.2 |  |  |
| Level of Service | B | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $34.6 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 110 | 64 | 29 | 41 | 45 | 87 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 110 | 64 | 29 | 41 | 45 | 87 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 169 | 98 | 45 | 63 | 69 | 134 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 267 | 108 | 203 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 45 | 69 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 98 | 0 | 134 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.22 | 0.10 | -0.33 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.3 | 4.8 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 794 | 705 | 760 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | 8.6 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.3 | 8.6 | 8.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 32.6\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |



Cycle Length: 67
Actuated Cycle Length: 55
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Splits and Phases: 3: Main St \& Robert St


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 36 | 90 | 134 | 159 | 133 | 22 | 132 | 174 | 196 | 5 | 171 | 29 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 36 | 90 | 134 | 159 | 133 | 22 | 132 | 174 | 196 | 5 | 171 | 29 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Frpb, ped/bikes | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Flpb, ped/bikes | 0.99 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  | 0.99 | 1.00 |  |
| Fit | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.98 |  | 1.00 | 0.92 |  | 1.00 | 0.98 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1795 | 1900 | 1565 | 1752 | 1852 |  | 1789 | 1706 |  | 1787 | 1835 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.65 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.70 | 1.00 |  | 0.63 | 1.00 |  | 0.52 | 1.00 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1237 | 1900 | 1565 | 1284 | 1852 |  | 1182 | 1706 |  | 979 | 1835 |  |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 38 | 94 | 140 | 166 | 139 | 23 | 138 | 181 | 204 | 5 | 178 | 30 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 38 | 94 | 33 | 166 | 151 | 0 | 138 | 335 | 0 | 5 | 201 | 0 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 6 |  | 10 | 10 |  |  | 13 |  | 32 | 32 |  | 13 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |  | 30.1 | 30.1 |  | 30.1 | 30.1 |  |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |  | 30.1 | 30.1 |  | 30.1 | 30.1 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 |  | 0.55 | 0.55 |  | 0.55 | 0.55 |  |
| Clearance Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 288 | 442 | 364 | 299 | 431 |  | 648 | 935 |  | 536 | 1006 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Prot |  | 0.05 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | c0.20 |  |  | 0.11 |  |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{s}$ Ratio Perm | 0.03 |  | 0.02 | c0.13 |  |  | 0.12 |  |  | 0.01 |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.56 | 0.35 |  | 0.21 | 0.36 |  | 0.01 | 0.20 |  |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 16.7 | 17.0 | 16.5 | 18.5 | 17.6 |  | 6.3 | 7.0 |  | 5.6 | 6.3 |  |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.5 |  | 0.8 | 1.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.4 |  |
| Delay (s) | 16.9 | 17.2 | 16.6 | 20.8 | 18.1 |  | 7.1 | 8.0 |  | 5.7 | 6.7 |  |
| Level of Service | B | B | B | C | B |  | A | A |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 16.9 |  |  | 19.4 |  |  | 7.8 |  |  | 6.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay |  |  | 12.3 |  | HCM 2000 | Level of S | ervice |  | B |  |  |  |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio |  |  | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) |  |  | 54.9 |  | Sum of lost | time (s) |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 78.8\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

c Critical Lane Group



|  | * | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | * | $\uparrow$ |  | ¢4 | F゙ |  | * $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 5 | 9 | 255 | 12 | 121 | 676 | 241 | 34 | 571 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 9 | 255 | 12 | 121 | 676 | 241 | 34 | 571 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 111 | 271 | 45 | 0 | 848 | 256 | 0 | 652 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 34.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 15.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 34.8\% | 43.5\% | 43.5\% | 43.5\% | 21.7\% | 65.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | Max | Max | Max | None | Max |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.24 | 0.75 | 0.10 |  | 0.53 | 0.25 |  | 0.36 |
| Control Delay |  | 7.8 | 38.4 | 10.7 |  | 9.9 | 4.3 |  | 8.5 |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay |  | 7.8 | 38.4 | 10.7 |  | 9.9 | 4.3 |  | 8.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 1.5 | 33.1 | 1.3 |  | 33.3 | 7.2 |  | 22.9 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 12.5 | \#65.0 | 8.5 |  | 48.3 | 17.0 |  | 33.2 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 194.7 |  | 452.9 |  | 413.1 |  |  | 488.9 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  | 15.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 514 | 401 | 478 |  | 1594 | 1012 |  | 1816 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.22 | 0.68 | 0.09 |  | 0.53 | 0.25 |  | 0.36 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 69
Actuated Cycle Length: 67.3
Natural Cycle: 70
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 13: Main St \& Thompson St/Thompson Rd



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | * | 1 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | - | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 19 | 121 | 0 | 54 | 184 | 78 | 1 | 24 | 18 | 57 | 46 | 21 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 19 | 121 | 0 | 54 | 184 | 78 | 1 | 24 | 18 | 57 | 46 | 21 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 21 | 136 | 0 | 61 | 207 | 88 | 1 | 27 | 20 | 64 | 52 | 24 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 157 | 356 | 48 | 140 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 21 | 61 | 1 | 64 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 88 | 20 | 24 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.04 | -0.11 | -0.25 | -0.01 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.9 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 5.2 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.21 | 0.44 | 0.07 | 0.20 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 691 | 768 | 623 | 631 |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.2 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 9.5 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.2 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 9.5 |
| Approach LOS | A | B | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 10.1 |  |  |
| Level of Service | B | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $45.4 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 85 | 133 | 113 | 95 | 31 | 50 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 85 | 133 | 113 | 95 | 31 | 50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 108 | 168 | 143 | 120 | 39 | 63 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 276 | 263 | 102 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 143 | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 168 | 0 | 63 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.37 | 0.11 | -0.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.1 | 4.6 | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 851 | 762 | 678 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.0 | 9.8 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.0 | 9.8 | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 41.7\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    1 The 110 single-detached units from Phase 1 have already been constructed and have been accounted for in the traffic counts. The traffic generation for ITE Land Use 210 is based on units from Phase 2 (73 single-detached units), Phase 3 ( 72 single-detached units) and Phase 4 ( 35 single-detached units)
    ${ }^{2}$ The traffic generation for ITE Land Use 221 is based on the future multi-residential units (Block 80) from Phase 1.

